UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,007	06/28/2005	Mark J Childs	GB030001US1	9031
	7590 09/10/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			ZHU, JOHN X	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2831	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,007	CHILDS, MARK J	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHN ZHU	2831	

		1				
	JOHN ZHU	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued.	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliand	avit, or other evidence, we se with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the or (3) a Request			
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires <u>5 months from the mailing date of the line rejection.</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N		300d00			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		Compliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-				
/Diego Gutierrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2831	/John Zhu/ Examiner, Art Unit 28	31				

Continuation of 13. Other: With respect to claims 1 and 14, as discussed in the previous office action, stability could be achieved by push-pull amplifiers with non-unity gains. Furthermore, Abdalla also teaches a feedback loop that is well known to maintain stability in gain systems. With respect to claims 5,6,9,18, although the references might not explicitly teach the ranges of the capacitances, it was noted that difference designs of pixel matrices will require different size capacitances. Also, optimization of ranges and by routine experimentation are not patentably distinct unless new or unexpected results are produced. With respect to claims 10 and 19, it was noted in the previous office action that different systems would require different amplifications and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the references to produce desired gains for specific systems. With respect to claim 20, Abdalla is not used to teach the non-unity gain, but rather Marshall, and the transistor MA51 has a non-unity gain.